

Fractal index, central charge and fractons

Wellington da Cruz* and Rosevaldo de Oliveira

Departamento de Física,

Universidade Estadual de Londrina, Caixa Postal 6001,

Cep 86051-970 Londrina, PR, Brazil

(February 1, 2008)

Abstract

We introduce the notion of fractal index associated with the universal class h of particles or quasiparticles, termed fractons, which obey specific fractal statistics. A connection between fractons and conformal field theory (CFT)-quasiparticles is established taking into account the central charge $c[\nu]$ and the particle-hole duality $\nu \longleftrightarrow \frac{1}{\nu}$, for integer-value ν of the statistical parameter. In this way, we derive the Fermi velocity in terms of the central charge as $v \sim \frac{c[\nu]}{\nu+1}$. The Hausdorff dimension h which labelled the universal classes of particles and the conformal anomaly are therefore related. Following another route, we also established a connection between Rogers dilogarithm function, Farey series of rational numbers and the Hausdorff dimension.

PACS numbers: 05.30.-d; 05.30.Ch; 05.70.Ce; 75.40.-s

Keywords: Fractons; Fractal index, Fractal statistics; Central charge;

Conformal field theory

Typeset using REVTeX

*E-mail: wdacruz@exatas.uel.br

We consider the conformal field theory(CFT)-quasiparticles (edge excitations) in connection with the concept of fractons introduced in [1]. These excitations have been considered at the edge of the quantum Hall systems which in the fractional regime assume the form of a chiral Luttinger liquid [2]. Beyond this, conformal field theories have been exploited in a variety of contexts, including statistical mechanics at the critical point, field theories, string theory, and in various branches of mathematics [3].

In this Letter, we suppose that the fractal statistics obeyed by fractons are shared by CFT-quasiparticles. Thus, the central charge, a model dependent constant is related to the universal class h of the fractons. We define the *fractal index* associated with these classes as

$$i_f[h] = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \int_{\infty(T=0)}^{1(T=\infty)} \frac{d\xi}{\xi} \ln \{ \Theta[\mathcal{Y}(\xi)] \} \quad (1)$$

and after the change of variable $\xi = x^{-1}$, we obtain

$$i_f[h] = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x} \ln \{ \Theta[\mathcal{Y}(x^{-1})] \} \quad (2)$$

where in the Eq.(1)

$$\Theta[\mathcal{Y}] = \frac{\mathcal{Y}[\xi] - 2}{\mathcal{Y}[\xi] - 1} \quad (3)$$

is the single-particle partition function of the universal class h and $\xi = \exp \{ (\epsilon - \mu)/KT \}$, has the usual definition. The function $\mathcal{Y}[\xi]$ satisfies the equation

$$\xi = \{ \mathcal{Y}[\xi] - 1 \}^{h-1} \{ \mathcal{Y}[\xi] - 2 \}^{2-h}. \quad (4)$$

We note here that the general solution of the algebraic equation derived from this last one is of the form

$$\mathcal{Y}_h[\xi] = f[\xi] + \tilde{h}$$

or

$$\mathcal{Y}_{\tilde{h}}[\xi] = g[\xi] + h,$$

where $\tilde{h} = 3 - h$, is a *duality symmetry*¹ between the classes. The functions $f[\xi]$ and $g[\xi]$ at least for third, fourth degrees algebraic equation differ by plus and minus signs in some terms of their expressions.

The particles within each class h satisfy specific **fractal statistics**² [1]

$$\begin{aligned} n &= \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \ln \Theta[\mathcal{Y}] \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Y}[\xi] - h} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and the fractal parameter³ (or Hausdorff dimension) h defined in the interval $1 < h < 2$ is related to the spin-statistics relation $\nu = 2s$ through the *fractal spectrum*

$$h - 1 = 1 - \nu, \quad 0 < \nu < 1; \quad h - 1 = \nu - 1, \quad 1 < \nu < 2; \quad (6)$$

etc.

For $h = 1$ we have fermions, with $\mathcal{Y}[\xi] = \xi + 2$, $\Theta[1] = \frac{\xi}{\xi+1}$ and $i_f[1] = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \int_{\infty}^1 \frac{d\xi}{\xi} \ln \left\{ \frac{\xi}{\xi+1} \right\} = \frac{1}{2}$.

For $h = 2$ we have bosons, with $\mathcal{Y}[\xi] = \xi + 1$, $\Theta[2] = \frac{\xi-1}{\xi}$ and $i_f[2] = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \int_{\infty}^1 \frac{d\xi}{\xi} \ln \left\{ \frac{\xi-1}{\xi} \right\} = 1$.

On the other hand, for the universal class $h = \frac{3}{2}$, we have fractons with $\mathcal{Y}[\xi] = \frac{3}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \xi^2}$, $\Theta \left[\frac{3}{2} \right] = \frac{\sqrt{1+4\xi^2}-1}{\sqrt{1+4\xi^2}+1}$ and $i_f \left[\frac{3}{2} \right] = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \int_{\infty}^1 \frac{d\xi}{\xi} \ln \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{1+4\xi^2}-1}{\sqrt{1+4\xi^2}+1} \right\} = \frac{3}{5}$.

The distribution function for each class h above, as we can check, are given by

$$n[1] = \frac{1}{\xi + 1}, \quad (7)$$

$$n[2] = \frac{1}{\xi - 1}, \quad (8)$$

$$n \left[\frac{3}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \xi^2}}, \quad (9)$$

¹This means that fermions($h = 1$) and bosons($h = 2$) are dual objects. As a result we have a *fractal supersymmetry*, since for the particle with spin s within the class h , its dual $s + \frac{1}{2}$ is within the class $\tilde{h}$.

²In fact, we have here *fractal functions* as discussed in [4].

³This parameter describes the properties of the path (*fractal curve*) of the quantum-mechanical particle.

i.e. we have the Fermi-Dirac distribution, the Bose-Einstein distribution and the fracton distribution of the universal class $h = \frac{3}{2}$, respectively . Thus, our formulation generalizes *in a natural way* the fermionic and bosonic distributions for particles assuming rational or irrational values for the spin quantum number s . In this way, our approach can be understood as a *quantum-geometrical* description of the statistical laws of Nature. This means that the (Eq.5) captures the observation about the fractal characteristic of the *quantum-mechanical* path, which reflects the Heisenberg uncertainty principle.

The fractal index as defined has a connection with the central charge or conformal anomaly $c[\nu]$, a dimensionless number which characterizes conformal field theories in two dimensions. This way, we verify that the conformal anomaly is associated with universality classes, i.e. universal classes h of particles. Now, we consider the particle-hole duality $\nu \longleftrightarrow \frac{1}{\nu}$ for integer-value ν of the statistical parameter in connection with the universal class h . For bosons and fermions, we have

$$\{0, 2, 4, 6, \dots\}_{h=2}$$

and

$$\{1, 3, 5, 7, \dots\}_{h=1}$$

such that, the central charge for ν *even* is defined by

$$c[\nu] = i_f[h, \nu] - i_f\left[h, \frac{1}{\nu}\right] \quad (10)$$

and for ν *odd* is defined by

$$c[\nu] = 2 \times i_f[h, \nu] - i_f\left[h, \frac{1}{\nu}\right], \quad (11)$$

where $i_f[h, \nu]$ means the fractal index of the universal class h which contains the statistical parameter $\nu = 2s$ or the particles with distinct spin values which obey specific fractal statistics. We assume that the fractal index $i_f[h, \infty] = 0$ (the class h is undetermined) and we obtain, for example, the results

$$\begin{aligned}
c[0] &= i_f[2, 0] - i_f[h, \infty] = 1; \\
c[1] &= 2 \times i_f[1, 1] - i_f[1, 1] = \frac{1}{2}; \\
c[2] &= i_f[2, 2] - i_f\left[\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right] = 1 - \frac{3}{5} = \frac{2}{5}; \\
c[3] &= 2 \times i_f[1, 3] - i_f\left[\frac{5}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right] = 1 - 0.656 = 0.344; \\
&etc,
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where the fractal index for $h = \frac{5}{3}$ is obtained from

$$\begin{aligned}
i_f\left[\frac{5}{3}\right] &= \frac{6}{\pi^2} \int_{\infty}^1 \frac{d\xi}{\xi} \\
&\times \ln \left\{ \frac{\sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{27} + \frac{\xi^3}{2} + \frac{1}{18}\sqrt{12\xi^3 + 81\xi^6}} + \frac{1}{9\sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{27} + \frac{\xi^3}{2} + \frac{1}{18}\sqrt{12\xi^3 + 81\xi^6}}} - \frac{2}{3}}{\sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{27} + \frac{\xi^3}{2} + \frac{1}{18}\sqrt{12\xi^3 + 81\xi^6}} + \frac{1}{9\sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{27} + \frac{\xi^3}{2} + \frac{1}{18}\sqrt{12\xi^3 + 81\xi^6}}} + \frac{1}{3}} \right\} \\
&= 0.656
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

and for its dual we have

$$\begin{aligned}
i_f\left[\frac{4}{3}\right] &= \frac{6}{\pi^2} \int_{\infty}^1 \frac{d\xi}{\xi} \\
&\times \ln \left\{ \frac{\sqrt[3]{-\frac{1}{27} + \frac{\xi^3}{2} + \frac{1}{18}\sqrt{-12\xi^3 + 81\xi^6}} + \frac{1}{9\sqrt[3]{-\frac{1}{27} + \frac{\xi^3}{2} + \frac{1}{18}\sqrt{-12\xi^3 + 81\xi^6}}} - \frac{1}{3}}{\sqrt[3]{-\frac{1}{27} + \frac{\xi^3}{2} + \frac{1}{18}\sqrt{-12\xi^3 + 81\xi^6}} + \frac{1}{9\sqrt[3]{-\frac{1}{27} + \frac{\xi^3}{2} + \frac{1}{18}\sqrt{-12\xi^3 + 81\xi^6}}} + \frac{2}{3}} \right\} \\
&= 0.56.
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

From the Table I we can observe the correlation between the classes h of particles and their fractal index, so our approach manifest **a robust** consistence in accordance with the unitary $c[\nu] < 1$ representations [3].

Table I

h	$i_f[h]$	Denomination	ν	s	$c[\nu] = i_f[h, \nu]$
2	1	bosons	0	0	1
...	...	fractons	...	...	...
$\frac{5}{3}$	0.656	fractons	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	0.656
...	...	fractons	...	...	...
$\frac{3}{2}$	0.6	fractons	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	0.6
...	...	fractons	...	...	...
$\frac{4}{3}$	0.56	fractons	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0.56
...	...	fractons	...	...	...
1	0.5	fermions	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.5

Therefore, since h is defined within the interval $1 < h < 2$, the corresponding fractal index is into the interval $0.5 < i_f[h] < 1$. However, the central charge $c[\nu]$ can assumes values less than 0.5. Thus, we distinguish two concepts of central charge, one is related to the universal classes h and the other is related to the particles which belong to these classes. For the statistical parameter in the interval $0 < \nu < 1$ (the first elements of each class h), $c[\nu] = i_f[h, \nu]$, as otherwise we obtain different values.

In another way, the central charge $c[\nu]$ can be obtained using the Rogers dilogarithm function [6], i.e.

$$c[\nu] = \frac{L[x^\nu]}{L[1]}, \quad (15)$$

with $x^\nu = 1 - x$, $\nu = 0, 1, 2, 3, \text{etc.}$ and

$$L[x] = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^x \left\{ \frac{\ln(1-y)}{y} + \frac{\ln y}{1-y} \right\} dy, \quad 0 < x < 1. \quad (16)$$

Thus, taking into account the Eqs.(10,11), we can extract the sequence of fractal indexes (Tables II and III).

Table II

h	ν	s	$i_f[h] = c[\nu]$	h	ν	s	$c[\nu]$
2	0	0	1	2	0	0	1
$\frac{39}{20}$	$\frac{1}{20}$	$\frac{1}{40}$	0.858	2	20	10	0.142
$\frac{37}{19}$	$\frac{1}{19}$	$\frac{1}{38}$	0.854	1	19	$\frac{19}{2}$	0.146
$\frac{35}{18}$	$\frac{1}{18}$	$\frac{1}{36}$	0.849	2	18	9	0.151
$\frac{33}{17}$	$\frac{1}{17}$	$\frac{1}{34}$	0.845	1	17	$\frac{17}{2}$	0.155
$\frac{31}{16}$	$\frac{1}{16}$	$\frac{1}{32}$	0.84	2	16	8	0.16
$\frac{29}{15}$	$\frac{1}{15}$	$\frac{1}{30}$	0.834	1	15	$\frac{15}{2}$	0.166
$\frac{27}{14}$	$\frac{1}{14}$	$\frac{1}{28}$	0.829	2	14	7	0.171
$\frac{25}{13}$	$\frac{1}{13}$	$\frac{1}{26}$	0.822	1	13	$\frac{13}{2}$	0.178
$\frac{23}{12}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{24}$	0.814	2	12	6	0.186
$\frac{21}{11}$	$\frac{1}{11}$	$\frac{1}{22}$	0.806	1	11	$\frac{11}{2}$	0.194

Table III

h	ν	s	$i_f[h] = c[\nu]$	h	ν	s	$c[\nu]$
$\frac{19}{10}$	$\frac{1}{10}$	$\frac{1}{20}$	0.797	2	10	5	0.203
$\frac{17}{9}$	$\frac{1}{9}$	$\frac{1}{18}$	0.786	1	9	$\frac{9}{2}$	0.214
$\frac{15}{8}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{1}{16}$	0.774	2	8	4	0.226
$\frac{13}{7}$	$\frac{1}{7}$	$\frac{1}{14}$	0.759	1	7	$\frac{7}{2}$	0.241
$\frac{11}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	0.742	2	6	3	0.258
$\frac{9}{5}$	$\frac{1}{5}$	$\frac{1}{10}$	0.721	1	5	$\frac{5}{2}$	0.279
$\frac{7}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	0.693	2	4	2	0.307
$\frac{5}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	0.656	1	3	$\frac{3}{2}$	0.344
$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	0.6	2	2	1	0.4
1	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.5	1	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.5

On the one way, we can estimate the fractal index for the dual classes of h with rational values, considering a fitting of the graphics $i_f[h] \times h$ and $c[\nu] \times \nu$, plus the observation that the $i_f[h, \nu]$ diminishes in the sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
i_f[h, \nu] = & i_f \left[\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right], & i_f \left[\frac{4}{3}, \frac{2}{3} \right], & i_f \left[\frac{5}{4}, \frac{3}{4} \right], \\
& i_f \left[\frac{6}{5}, \frac{4}{5} \right], & i_f \left[\frac{7}{6}, \frac{5}{6} \right], & i_f \left[\frac{8}{7}, \frac{6}{7} \right], \\
& i_f \left[\frac{9}{8}, \frac{7}{8} \right], & i_f \left[\frac{10}{9}, \frac{8}{9} \right], & i_f \left[\frac{11}{10}, \frac{9}{10} \right], \\
& i_f \left[\frac{12}{11}, \frac{10}{11} \right], & i_f \left[\frac{13}{12}, \frac{11}{12} \right], & i_f \left[\frac{14}{13}, \frac{12}{13} \right], \\
& i_f \left[\frac{15}{14}, \frac{13}{14} \right], & i_f \left[\frac{16}{15}, \frac{14}{15} \right], & i_f \left[\frac{17}{16}, \frac{15}{16} \right], \\
& i_f \left[\frac{19}{18}, \frac{17}{18} \right], & i_f \left[\frac{20}{19}, \frac{18}{19} \right], & i_f \left[\frac{21}{20}, \frac{19}{20} \right], \\
& i_f[1, 1].
\end{aligned}$$

This way, we observe that our formulation to the universal class h of particles with any values of spin s establishes a connection between Hausdorff dimension h and the central charge $c[\nu]$, in a manner unsuspected till now. Besides this, we have obtained a connection between h and the Rogers dilogarithm function, through the fractal index defined in terms of the partition function associated with the universal class h of particles. Thus, considering the Eqs.(10, 11) and the Eq.(15), we have

$$\frac{L[x^\nu]}{L[1]} = i_f[h, \nu] - i_f \left[h, \frac{1}{\nu} \right], \quad \nu = 0, 2, 4, \text{etc.} \quad (17)$$

$$\frac{L[x^\nu]}{L[1]} = 2 \times i_f[h, \nu] - i_f \left[h, \frac{1}{\nu} \right], \quad \nu = 1, 3, 5, \text{etc.} \quad (18)$$

Also in [1] we have established a connection between the fractal parameter h and the Farey series of rational numbers, therefore once the classes h satisfy all the properties of these series we have an infinity collection of them. In this sense, we clearly establish a connection between number theory and Rogers dilogarithm function. Given that the fractal parameter is an irreducible number $h = \frac{p}{q}$, the classes satisfy the properties [7]

P1. If $h_1 = \frac{p_1}{q_1}$ and $h_2 = \frac{p_2}{q_2}$ are two consecutive fractions $\frac{p_1}{q_1} > \frac{p_2}{q_2}$, then $|p_2 q_1 - q_2 p_1| = 1$.

P2. If $\frac{p_1}{q_1}, \frac{p_2}{q_2}, \frac{p_3}{q_3}$ are three consecutive fractions $\frac{p_1}{q_1} > \frac{p_2}{q_2} > \frac{p_3}{q_3}$, then $\frac{p_2}{q_2} = \frac{p_1 + p_3}{q_1 + q_3}$.

P3. If $\frac{p_1}{q_1}$ and $\frac{p_2}{q_2}$ are consecutive fractions in the same sequence, then among all fractions between the two, $\frac{p_1+p_2}{q_1+q_2}$ is the unique reduced fraction with the smallest denominator.

For example, consider the Farey series of order 6, denoted by the ν sequence

$$\begin{aligned} (h, \nu) = & \left(\frac{11}{6}, \frac{1}{6}\right) \rightarrow \left(\frac{9}{5}, \frac{1}{5}\right) \rightarrow \left(\frac{7}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right) \rightarrow \left(\frac{5}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right) \rightarrow \\ & \left(\frac{8}{5}, \frac{2}{5}\right) \rightarrow \left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \rightarrow \left(\frac{7}{5}, \frac{3}{5}\right) \rightarrow \left(\frac{4}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right) \rightarrow \\ & \left(\frac{5}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right) \rightarrow \left(\frac{6}{5}, \frac{4}{5}\right) \rightarrow \left(\frac{7}{6}, \frac{5}{6}\right) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Using the fractal spectrum (Eq.6), we can obtain other sequences which satisfy the Farey properties and for the classes

$$h = \frac{11}{6}, \frac{9}{5}, \frac{7}{4}, \frac{5}{3}, \frac{8}{5}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{7}{5}, \frac{4}{3}, \frac{5}{4}, \frac{6}{5}, \frac{7}{6}, \dots,$$

and (note that these ones are dual classes, $\tilde{h} = 3 - h$) we can calculate the fractal index taking into account the Rogers dilogarithm function or the partition function associated with each h .

Now, in [1] we also considered free fractons and an equation of state at low temperatures was obtained

$$P = \frac{h\rho^2}{2\gamma} + \gamma(KT)^2\mathcal{C}_1(h), \quad (20)$$

where $\gamma = \frac{m(\nu+1)}{4\pi\hbar^2}$ ($\hbar$ is the Planck constant), ρ is the particle density and

$$\mathcal{C}_1(h) = - \int_{1(T=0)}^{\infty(T=\infty)} \frac{d\mathcal{Y}}{(\mathcal{Y}-1)(\mathcal{Y}-2)} \ln \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{Y}-1}{\mathcal{Y}-2} \right\} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}. \quad (21)$$

Thus, for the fracton systems we obtain the specific heat C as

$$\frac{C}{L^2} = \frac{m}{4\pi\hbar^2} K^2 T (\nu+1) \frac{\pi^2}{3}. \quad (22)$$

On the other hand, the specific heat of a conformal field theory is given by [5]

$$\frac{C}{L} = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar v} K^2 T \frac{\pi^2}{3} c[\nu]. \quad (23)$$

Comparing the expressions, we obtain the Fermi velocity as

$$v \sim \frac{c[\nu]}{\nu + 1}, \quad (24)$$

so for $\nu = 0$, $c[0] = 1$, $v \sim 1$; $\nu = 1$, $c[1] = \frac{1}{2}$, $v \sim 0.25$; $\nu = 2$, $c[2] = \frac{2}{5}$, $v \sim 0.133$; $\nu = 3$, $c[3] = 0.344$, $v \sim 0.086$; etc. We observe that fractons are objects defined in 2+1-dimensions (see [1] for more details).

In summary, we have obtained a connection between fractons and CFT-quasiparticles. This was implemented with the notion of the *fractal index* associated with the universal class h of the fractons. This way, fractons and CFT-quasiparticles satisfy a specific fractal statistics. We also have obtained an expression for the Fermi velocity in terms of the conformal anomaly and the statistical parameter [8]. A connection between the Rogers dilogarithm function, Farey series of rational numbers and Hausdorff dimension h also was established. The idea of fractons as quasiparticles has been explored in the contexts of the fractional quantum Hall effect [1], Luttinger liquids [8] and high- T_c superconductivity [9]. Finally, a connection between fractal statistics and black hole entropy also was exploited in [10] and a fractal-deformed Heisenberg algebra for each class of fractons was introduced in [11].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank an anonymous referee by the comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. da Cruz, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A15** 3805 (2000);
W. da Cruz, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A14** 1933 (1999).
- [2] X. G. Wen, Phys. Rev. **B41** 12838 (1990), Int. J. Mod. Phys. **B6** 1711 (1991), Adv. Phys. **44** 405 (1995);
K. Schoutens, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79** 2608 (1997);
A. M. M Pruisken, B. Skorić and B. M. Baranov, Phys. Rev. **B60** 16838 (1999);
B. Skorić and A. M. M Pruisken, Nucl. Phys. **B559**[FS] 637 (1999);
R. van Elburg and K. Schoutens, Phys. Rev. **B58** 15704 (1998);
P. Bouwknecht, L. H. Chim and D. Ridout, Nucl. Phys. **B572** 574 (2000) ;
S. Dasmahapatra, R. Kedem, T. Klassen, B. McCoy and E. Melzer, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **B7** 3617 (1993);
A. Berkovich and B. McCoy, hep-th/9808013;
A. Berkovich, B. McCoy and A. Schilling, Commun. Math. Phys. **191** 325 (1998);
See [8]; and references therein.
- [3] P. Di Francesco, P. Mathieu and D. Sénéchal, *Conformal Field Theory* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997); *Conformal Invariance and Applications to Statistical Mechanics*, ed. by C. Itzykson, H. Saleur and J-B. Zuber (World Scientific, Singapore, 1988);
C. J. Efthimiou and D. A. Spector, hep-th/0003190. The literature on CFT is vast, these sources can help us to looking for papers of some specific interest.
- [4] A. Rocco and B. J. West, Physica **A265** 535 (1999).
- [5] H. W. J. Blöte, J. L. Cardy and M. P. Nightingale, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56** 742 (1986);
I. Affleck, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56** 746 (1986).
- [6] W. Nahm, A. Recknagel and M. Terhoeven, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A8** 1835 (1993);
M. Terhoeven, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A9** 133 (1994);

- K. Hikami, Phys. Lett. **A205** 364 (1995);
- A. N. Kirillov, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **118**, 61 (1995) and references therein.
- [7] M. Schroeder, *Number Theory in Science and Communication* (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1997).
- [8] W. da Cruz, J. of Physics: Cond. Mat. **12** L1 (2000).
- [9] W. da Cruz and M. P. Carneiro, cond-mat/9912459.
- [10] W. da Cruz, hep-th/0007123.
- [11] W. da Cruz, hep-th/0008171.